UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/689,396	10/20/2003	Juba M. Salo	042933/269778	2878
826 ALSTON & BI	7590 12/31/2007 [RD LLP		EXAMINER	
BANK OF AMERICA PLAZA			GERGISO, TECHANE	
	RYON STREET, SUITE 40 , NC 28280-4000	000	ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
	•		2137	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			12/31/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

₹1	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/689,396	SALO ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Techane J. Gergiso-+	2137	
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet wit	h the correspondence address -	•
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REWHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by so Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the rearned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	G DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a rent. eriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT tatute, cause the application to become ABA	ATION. ply be timely filed THS from the mailing date of this communicated and the communicated states are seen as a second communicated and the communicated states are seen as a second communicated and the communicated states are seen as a second communicated and the communicated states are seen as a second communicated and the communicated states are seen as a second communicated and the communicated states are seen as a second communicated as a second communicated and the communicated states are seen as a second communicated as a second communicated and the communicated states are second communicated as a second communicated and the communicated are second communicated as a second communicated and the communicated are second communicated as a second communicated are second communicated as a second communicated and communicated are second communicated as a second communicated and communicated are second communicated as a second communicated and communicated are second communicated as a second communicated and communicated are second communicated as a second communicated and communicated are second communicated as a second communicated as a second communicated are second communicated as a second communicated are second communicated as a second communicated as a second communicated are second communicated as a second communicated are second communicated as a second communicated as a second communicated are second communicated as a second communic	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 1	<u>10/15/2007</u> .		
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)□	This action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for all	•	·	s is
closed in accordance with the practice und	ler <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D.	11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) 1-36 is/are pending in the applica	tion.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with	drawn from consideration.		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-36</u> is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction a	nd/or election requirement.		
Application Papers	•		
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exar	miner.		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)	accepted or b) objected to b	y the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to	the drawing(s) be held in abeyand	ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the co	errection is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.12	.1(d).
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	e Examiner. Note the attached	Office Action or form PTO-152	<u>.</u>
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	eign priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).	
1. Certified copies of the priority document	nents have been received.		
2. Certified copies of the priority document	nents have been received in Ap	oplication No	
3. Copies of the certified copies of the	•	received in this National Stage	
application from the International Bu	, , , , ,		
* See the attached detailed Office action for a	I list of the certified copies not to	received.	
Attachment(s)			
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 	,	ummary (PTO-413))/Mail Date	
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date		formal Patent Application —·	

10/689,396 Art Unit: 2137

DETAILED ACTION

- 1. This is a Final Office Action in response to the applicant's communication filed on October 15, 2007.
- 2. New claims 28-36 are added.
- 3. Claims 1-36 are pending.

Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-36 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claims 1-4, 10-13, 19-22 and 28-31 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Puhl et al. (US 6,223,291 B1) in view of Landsman et al. (US Pat. No.: 7,143,337).

As per claim 1, 10, 19 and 28:

10/689,396 Art Unit: 2137

Puhl discloses a system, a method, a computer readable medium, an apparatus respectively for downloading pushed content comprising; a terminal comprising a processor configured to receive service loading content that identifies download content and has a digital signature. The processor is implicitly stated by the prior art. Wherein the processor is configured to authenticate the service loading content based upon the digital signature, and if the service loading content is authenticated, pulling the download content to the terminal and wherein the processor is configured to authenticate the service loading content and pulling the downloading content, in response to receiving the service loading content (col. 13, lines 30-46; col. 13, lines 47-67) and independent of interaction for a user of the terminal (col. 8, lines 2-4, lines 10-12).

Puhl does not explicitly teach wherein the processor is configured to determine if an interruption occurs in pulling the download content such that the terminal receives a portion but less than all of the download content, and if an interruption occurs in receiving the content, recover the download content including receiving a remaining portion of the download content without also receiving at least part of the previously received portion. However, Landsman, in an analogous art, teaches wherein the processor is configured to determine if an interruption occurs in pulling the download content such that the terminal receives a portion but less than all of the download content, and if an interruption occurs in receiving the content, recover the download content including receiving a remaining portion of the download content without also receiving at least part of the previously received portion (column 11: lines 20-28; To conserve communication link bandwidth, the agent then resumes downloading of these files at a point it was suspended, rather than, as conventionally occurs, totally re-starting the download.)

10/689,396

Art Unit: 2137

Page 4

Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the

time the invention was made to modify the system disclosed by Puhl to include wherein the

processor is configured to determine if an interruption occurs in pulling the download content

such that the terminal receives a portion but less than all of the download content, and if an

interruption occurs in receiving the content, recover the download content including receiving a

remaining portion of the download content without also receiving at least part of the previously

received portion. This modification would have been obvious because a person having ordinary

skill in the art, at the time the invention was made, would have been motivated to decoupling

referring web page content from its corresponding advertising content, to easily permits an

advertiser to change or update any of its advertisements by just modifying, as needed,

appropriate media and Descriptor files that reside in the third-party advertising management

system as suggested by as suggested by Landsman (in column 13: lines 51-60).

As per claim 2, 11, 20 and 29:

Puhl discloses the processor of the terminal is configured to verify the digital signature

with a public key to thereby authenticate the service loading content (col. 13, lines 30-40).

As per claim 3, 12, 21 and 30:

10/689,396

Art Unit: 2137

Puhl discloses a push initiator configured to digitally sign the service loading content

Page 5

with a private key associated with the public key and thereafter transmitting the service loading

content to the terminal (col. 3, lines 11-14).

As per claim 4, 13, 22 and 31:

Puhl discloses an origin server associated with the download content, wherein the service

loading content identifies the origin server associated with the download content (see para. 0009

of the background of the applicant invention); the processor of the terminal is configured to send

a request for the download content to the origin server when the service loading content is

authenticated (col. 13, lines 30-46) wherein the processor is configured to receive the download

content from the origin server in response to the request (col. 13, lines 47-49).

7. Claims 5, 14, 23 and 32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over

Puhl and Landsman in view of Chakravorty et al. (US 2004/0176080 A1).

As per claim 5, 14, 23 and 32:

Puhl and Landsman disclose except that the processor of the terminal is configured to

operate a download agent, wherein the download agent is configured to receive a download

descriptor and thereafter receiving the download content.

10/689,396

Art Unit: 2137

Chakravorty discloses a download descriptor and thereafter receiving the download

Page 6

content (see abstract). Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at

the time of the invention to modify Chakravorty to include the use of a download descriptor in

order to provide the user/device instructions on how to download content, such that the

user/device may know where content resides and how to configure one system to receive

content.

8. Claim 6-7, 15-16, 24-25 and 33-34 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being

unpatentable over Puhl and Landsman in view of Chakravorty et al. (US 200410176080 A1) as

applied to claim 5 above, and further in view of Singh et al. (US 200310147369 A1).

As per claim 6-7, 15-16, 24-25 and 33-34:

Puhl, Landsman and Chakravorty discloses all the limitation of claim 6-7, 15-16, 24-25

and 33-34 except that wherein determining if an interruption occurs determining if an interrupt

occurs in receiving the plurality of data packets such that the download agent receives less than

the plurality of data packets of the download content, and if an interruption occurs in receiving

the plurality of data packets, wherein recovering the downloaded content comprises recovering

the download content such that the download agent receives the plurality of data packets.

10/689,396

Art Unit: 2137

Singh discloses wherein determining if an interruption occurs determining if an interrupt occurs in receiving the plurality of data packets such that the download agent receives less than the plurality of data packets of the download content, and if an interruption occurs in receiving the plurality of data packets, wherein recovering the downloaded content comprises recovering the download content such that the download agent receives the plurality of data packets (para. 0354, lines 1-7; para 0357, lines 1-9). Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Puhl to include the use of an agent for detection an interrupt during content downloading in order to ensure wireless device receive all data packets that the device is supposed to receive from the distributor, as wireless connectivity at times are not reliable (para. 0355).

9. Claim 8-9, 17-18, 26-27 and 35-36 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Puhl and Landsman in view of Singh et al. (US 2003/0147369 A1).

Puhl and Landsman disclose all the limitation of claims 8-9, 17-18 and 26-27 except for the terminal is configured to operate a download agent configured to receive the plurality of data packets and receiving at least one information packet regarding at least one group of at least on data packet and determining if an interruption occurs while receiving the packets, if an interruption occurs recover the missing packets the was not previously received (para. 0354, lines 1-7; para 0357, lines 1-9).

Singh discloses the download agent is configured to determine if an interrupt occurs in receiving the plurality of data packets such that the download agent receives less than the

10/689,396

Art Unit: 2137

plurality of data packets of the download content, and if an interruption occurs in receiving the

Page 8

plurality of data packets, recovering the download content such that the download agent receives

the plurality of data packets (para. 0354, lines 1-7; para 0357, lines 1-9).

Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the

invention to modify Puhl and Landsman to include the use of an agent for detection an interrupt

during content downloading in order to ensure wireless device receive all data packets that the

device is supposed to receive, from the distributor, as wireless connectivity at times are not

reliable (para. 0355).

Conclusion

10. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's

disclosure.

See the notice of reference cited in form PTO-892 for additional prior art.

Contact Information

11. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Techane J. Gergiso whose telephone number is (571) 272-3784.

The examiner can normally be reached on 9:00am - 6:00pm. If attempts to reach the examiner by

telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Emmanuel Moise can be reached on (571)

272-3865. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is

assigned is 571-273-8300.

10/689,396

Art Unit: 2137

Page 9

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

/T.G/

December 24, 2007

SUPERVISORY PUBLICIT EXAMINER